THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.C.BHANU

WRIT PETITION No. 22165 of 2001

Dated: 24.08.2005

Between:

Dr. G.Bhoji Reddy, s/o. late G.Balakrishna Reddy,
Aged about 54 years, Sr. Scientist (Agri) (MP),
Regional Agricultural Research Station,
Naydyal, Kurnool dist.
R/o. H.No.2-2-25/4, D.D. Colony,
Bagh Amberpet, Hyderabad

… Petitioner

And

1) Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University,
rep. by its Registrar, Administration Building,
Rajendra Nagar, Hyderabad-30 & another

…Respondents

ORDER:

Challenging the action of the respondent no.1 in not issuing posting orders to the petitioner, this Writ Petition is filed.

It is the case of the petitioner that he was initially appointed as Professor in Agronomy with the first respondent-university on 08.07.1992. In the year 1994, he was deputed to the Hyderabad Agricultural co-operative Association Limited as General Manager-Seeds and repatriated to first respondent-university in the year 1996. Again, he was deputed as Chief Seed Production and Marketing Manager, A.P. State Co-operative Marketing Federation Ltd., Hyderabad from 01.01.1998 to 08.09.1998. Thereafter, he joined as Senior Scientist (Agrl.) (MP), Regional Agricultural Research Station, Nandyal on 14.10.1998. But, he had to proceed on leave from 02.11.1998 to 27.02.2000 on family and domestic problems and finally, he opted to take voluntary retirement on 27.01.2000. Vide proceedings dated 23.03.2000, first respondent permitted him to retire voluntarily from 29.02.2000 AN. But, the first respondent, vide memo dated 25.05.2000, requested 2nd respondent not to relieve him until further orders. On 25.08.2001, he made a representation to give him posting orders. But, the respondents neither granted gave the posting orders nor gave the retirement benefits to him. Hence, the Writ Petition.

A detailed counter affidavit has been filed by the respondent no.1 stating that the petitioner is deemed to be relieved on 29.02.2000 after noon vide memo dated 15.10.2001, and therefore, no action

could be necessary in pursuance of the interim direction given by this Court on 19.10.2001 to dispose of the representation of the petitioner on 25.08.2001.

In view of the said fact, no further orders are required to be passed in this Writ Petition. The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

(K.C.BHANU, J.)

DRK

24.08.2005